SINGLE BENCH

(2)

P.R. No. 3399/06
Presented by Shri V. Agrawal
dated 6/7/06

(5)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. (Service) NO. 3338/2006

PETITIONER

R.N. Agrawal
S/o- Lakhanlal Agrawal Aged 53 years, R/o- Puranibasti C/o- Danteshwari Fabrication works Kushal pur Raipur , Distt- Raipur (C. G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1) State of Chhattisgarh
Through: The Secretary Water resources Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, Distt- Raipur (C.G.)

2) The Chief Engineer
Water resources Department
Raipur (C.G.)

3) Engineer in Chief Water resources Department, Sihawa Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)

4) Motilal Yadu S/o- L. Yadu
Superintendent Office of Superintending Engineer E. & M. Circle Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A.G. BILASPUR
6/7/06

(3)
(6)

5) Y.S. Chandravanshi
Superintendent Office of the
Chief Engineer Hasdeo Basin
Bilaspur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA .

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 3338/06 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

35

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

10.7.2006

Shri Manoj Paranjpe, Adv. for the petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. G.A. for the State.

By this petition, the petitioner has questioned the legality, propriety and correctness of the order dated 4.7.2006 whereby the petitioner has been transferred from the office of Superintending Engineer, Mahanadi Circle, Raipur to the office of Chief Engineer, Hasdeo Kachhar, Bilaspur.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that he has been transferred within a period of three years contrary to the policy dated 26.5.2006 issued by the State Government regarding transfer.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the circumstances the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 raising all his grievance and in turn respondent No.1 be directed to decide the representation, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 within 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 to decide the representation of petitioner within another one month from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the points raised by the petitioner in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2565/2006 & I.A. No. 6573/06 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

(पीछे देखिये)